

Compatible systems along the boundary

Weizhe Zheng

Morningside Center of Mathematics,
Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences

June 15, 2018

References

- K. Fujiwara. Independence of ℓ for intersection cohomology (after Gabber). In *Algebraic geometry 2000, Azumino (Hotaka)*, 2002.
- I. Vidal. Critères valuatifs (d'après O. Gabber). Appendice à “Courbes nodales et ramification sauvage virtuelle”, *Manuscripta Math.*, 2005.

Plan of the talk

- 1 Serre's conjectures on ℓ -independence
- 2 Compatible systems along the boundary
- 3 Relation with wild ramification

References

- J.-P. Serre, J. Tate. Good reduction of abelian varieties. *Ann. Math.* (1968).
- J.-P. Serre. Facteurs locaux des fonctions zêta des variétés algébriques (définitions et conjectures). *Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou* (1970).

Serre proposed conjectures C1–C8 related to the definition of the Hasse-Weil zeta functions of projective smooth varieties over global fields.

Arithmetic zeta function

- Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}.$$

Arithmetic zeta function

- Riemann zeta function:

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}}.$$

- Let \mathcal{X} be a scheme of finite type over $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{Z})$.
Arithmetic zeta function:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\mathcal{X}}(s) &= \sum_{C \in \mathbf{Z}_0^{\text{eff}}(\mathcal{X})} \frac{1}{(NC)^s} = \prod_{x \in |\mathcal{X}|} \frac{1}{1 - (N_x)^{-s}} \\ &= \prod_{v \in |V|} Z_{\mathcal{X}_v}((N_v)^{-s}) \end{aligned}$$

for \mathcal{X} over V of finite type over $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{Z})$.

Cohomological interpretation

Let X be a variety (= scheme separated of finite type) over a field k . For each $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$, Grothendieck defined a finite-dimensional \mathbf{Q}_ℓ -vector space $H_{\ell,c}^i = H_c^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$, equipped with a continuous action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Cohomological interpretation

Let X be a variety (= scheme separated of finite type) over a field k . For each $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$, Grothendieck defined a finite-dimensional \mathbf{Q}_ℓ -vector space $H_{\ell,c}^i = H_c^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$, equipped with a continuous action of $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

Theorem (Grothendieck)

Let X be a variety over $k = \mathbf{F}_q$. For each $\ell \nmid q$,

$$Z_X(t) = \prod_i P_{i,\ell}(t)^{(-1)^{i+1}},$$

where

$$P_{i,\ell}(t) = \det(1 - \text{Frt}, H_{\ell,c}^i).$$

Weil conjectures (continued)

Let X be a proper smooth variety over $k = \mathbf{F}_q$.

Theorem (Deligne, C2)

The reciprocal roots of $P_{i,\ell}$ are of weight i (algebraic numbers with all complex conjugates of absolute value $q^{i/2}$).

Weil conjectures (continued)

Let X be a proper smooth variety over $k = \mathbf{F}_q$.

Theorem (Deligne, C2)

The reciprocal roots of $P_{i,\ell}$ are of weight i (algebraic numbers with all complex conjugates of absolute value $q^{i/2}$).

Corollary (C1)

$P_{i,\ell} \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of ℓ .

Weil conjectures (continued)

Let X be a proper smooth variety over $k = \mathbf{F}_q$.

Theorem (Deligne, C2)

The reciprocal roots of $P_{i,\ell}$ are of weight i (algebraic numbers with all complex conjugates of absolute value $q^{i/2}$).

Corollary (C1)

$P_{i,\ell} \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of ℓ .

Corollary

Let X be a proper smooth variety over an arbitrary field k . Then the Betti number $\dim H^i(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell)$ is independent of $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$.

Hasse-Weil zeta function

Let X be a proper smooth variety over a global field F .

$$\zeta_X(s) = \prod_i L_i(s)^{(-1)^{i+1}},$$

$$L_i(s) = \prod_v \det(1 - \text{Fr}q_v^{-s}, (H_\ell^i)^{I_v}),$$

where v runs over finite places of F , and I_v denotes the inertia group at v .

ℓ -independence

Let K be a local field: a complete discrete valuation field of finite residue field \mathbf{F}_q . Let X be a proper smooth variety over K .

Conjecture

- (Serre, C5) $\det(1 - \text{Frt}, (H_\ell^i)^{I_K}) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \nmid q$.

ℓ -independence

Let K be a local field: a complete discrete valuation field of finite residue field \mathbf{F}_q . Let X be a proper smooth variety over K .

Conjecture

- (Serre, C5) $\det(1 - \text{Frt}, (H_\ell^i)^{I_K}) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \nmid q$.
- (Serre-Tate, C8) For each lifting $F \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ of Fr , $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \nmid q$.

Monodromy Weight Conjecture

Let M denote the monodromy filtration.

Conjecture

Eigenvalues of F lifting Fr on $\text{gr}_n^M H_\ell^i$ are of weight $i + n$.

Monodromy Weight Conjecture

Let M denote the monodromy filtration.

Conjecture

Eigenvalues of F lifting Fr on $\text{gr}_n^M H_\ell^i$ are of weight $i + n$.

C8 + Monodromy Weight Conjecture

$\Rightarrow \det(1 - Ft, \text{gr}_n^M H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of ℓ

\Rightarrow C5

Monodromy Weight Conjecture

Let M denote the monodromy filtration.

Conjecture

Eigenvalues of F lifting Fr on $\text{gr}_n^M H_\ell^i$ are of weight $i + n$.

C8 + Monodromy Weight Conjecture

$\Rightarrow \det(1 - Ft, \text{gr}_n^M H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of ℓ

\Rightarrow C5

(Monodromy Weight Conjecture \Rightarrow C6 + C7)

General residue field

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of residue field k . Let X be a proper smooth variety over K .

Conjecture (Serre-Tate, C4)

For each $F \in I_K$, $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$.

Local monodromy theorem

Let X be a variety over K .

Theorem

- (Grothendieck) An open subgroup of I_K acts on $H_{\ell,c}^i$ unipotently.

Local monodromy theorem

Let X be a variety over K .

Theorem

- (Grothendieck) An open subgroup of I_K acts on $H_{\ell, c}^i$ unipotently.
- (Deligne, Gabber, Illusie) There exists an open subgroup I' of I_K , independent of ℓ , such that for every $g \in I'$, $(g - 1)^{i+1}$ acts by 0 on H_{ℓ}^i and $H_{\ell, c}^i$.

Equal characteristic case

Theorem (Deligne, Terasoma, Ito)

Monodromy Weight Conjecture holds in equal characteristic.

Equal characteristic case (continued)

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of residue field k , both of characteristic $p > 0$. Let X be a proper smooth variety over K .

Theorem

- (Lu-Z., C4) For each $F \in I_K$, $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \neq p$.
- (Deligne, Terasoma, Lu-Z., C8) Assume $k = \mathbf{F}_q$. For each lifting $F \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ of Fr , $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \neq p$.

Equal characteristic case (continued)

Let K be a complete discrete valuation field of residue field k , both of characteristic $p > 0$. Let X be a proper smooth variety over K .

Theorem

- (Lu-Z., C4) For each $F \in I_K$, $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \neq p$.
- (Deligne, Terasoma, Lu-Z., C8) Assume $k = \mathbf{F}_q$. For each lifting $F \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ of Fr , $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \neq p$.

Corollary (C5)

Assume $k = \mathbf{F}_q$. For each lifting $F \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ of Fr , $\det(1 - Ft, (H_\ell^i)^{I_K}) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \nmid q$.

General characteristic: alternating sums

Let X be a variety over a field K .

Theorem

- (Gabber, C1') Assume $K = \mathbf{F}_q$. For each $F \in W(\bar{K}/K)$, $\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of $\ell \nmid q$.

General characteristic: alternating sums

Let X be a variety over a field K .

Theorem

- (Gabber, C1') Assume $K = \mathbf{F}_q$. For each $F \in W(\bar{K}/K)$, $\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of $\ell \nmid q$.
- (Vidal, C4') Assume K is a complete discrete valuation field of residue characteristic $p > 0$. For each $F \in I_K$, $\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}$ and is independent of $\ell \neq p$.
- (Ochiai, Z., C8') Assume K is a local field of residue field \mathbf{F}_q . For each $F \in W(\bar{K}/K)$, $\sum_i (-1)^i \text{tr}(F, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of $\ell \nmid q$.

Plan of the talk

- 1 Serre's conjectures on ℓ -independence
- 2 Compatible systems along the boundary
- 3 Relation with wild ramification

Spreading out

Let X be a proper smooth variety over a field F of characteristic $p > 0$. There exists a scheme B of finite type over \mathbf{F}_p and a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathrm{Spec}(F) & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

with f proper smooth. We have

$$H^i(X_{\bar{F}}, \mathbf{Q}_\ell) \simeq (R^i f_* \mathbf{Q}_\ell)_{\bar{F}}$$

This leads us to study the system $(R^i f_* \mathbf{Q}_\ell)_\ell$ of (lisse) \mathbf{Q}_ℓ -sheaves on B .

Compatible systems

Let \mathcal{O}_K be an excellent Henselian discrete valuation ring of residue field $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ (no restriction on the characteristic of the fraction field K). Let X be a scheme of finite type over $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Let $K(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$ be the Grothendieck group of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves on X . Fix $\ell_i, i \in I$.

Definition

$(L_i) \in \prod_i K(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ is **compatible** if for every $x \in |X|$, and every $F \in W(\overline{x}/x)$, $\text{tr}(F, (L_i)_{\overline{x}}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of i . Here $|X| := |X_K| \cup |X_k|$ denotes the set of locally closed points of X .

Compatible systems

Let \mathcal{O}_K be an excellent Henselian discrete valuation ring of residue field $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ (no restriction on the characteristic of the fraction field K). Let X be a scheme of finite type over $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Let $K(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell)$ be the Grothendieck group of $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves on X . Fix $\ell_i, i \in I$.

Definition

$(L_i) \in \prod_i K(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ is **compatible** if for every $x \in |X|$, and every $F \in W(\overline{x}/x)$, $\text{tr}(F, (L_i)_{\overline{x}}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of i . Here $|X| := |X_K| \cup |X_k|$ denotes the set of locally closed points of X .

More general notion with fixed embeddings $\mathbf{Q} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i}$.

Gabber's theorem

Theorem (Gabber, Z.)

Over S , compatible systems are preserved by duality and Grothendieck's six operations:

$$f^*, f_*, f_!, f^!, \otimes, R\mathcal{H}om.$$

Local fundamental groups

Let \bar{C} be a smooth curve over \mathbf{F}_q and let $C \subseteq \bar{C}$ be a Zariski dense open. For $x \in \bar{C} \setminus C$, we have $\text{Spec}(K_x) = \bar{C}_{(x)} \times_{\bar{C}} C \rightarrow C$, where $\bar{C}_{(x)}$ denotes the **Henselization** of \bar{C} at x . Short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow I_x \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{K}_x/K_x) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{x}/x) \rightarrow 1.$$

Local fundamental groups

Let \bar{C} be a smooth curve over \mathbf{F}_q and let $C \subseteq \bar{C}$ be a Zariski dense open. For $x \in \bar{C} \setminus C$, we have $\text{Spec}(K_x) = \bar{C}_{(x)} \times_{\bar{C}} C \rightarrow C$, where $\bar{C}_{(x)}$ denotes the **Henselization** of \bar{C} at x . Short exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow I_x \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{K}_x/K_x) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{x}/x) \rightarrow 1.$$

More generally, let \bar{X} be a normal scheme of finite type over S and let $X \subseteq \bar{X}$ be a Zariski dense open. For $x \in \bar{X}$, the open immersion $\bar{X}_{(x)} \times_{\bar{X}} X \subseteq \bar{X}_{(x)}$ induces a surjection

$$\pi_1(\bar{X}_{(x)} \times_{\bar{X}} X) \rightarrow \pi_1(\bar{X}_{(x)}) \simeq \text{Gal}(\bar{x}/x).$$

Compatible systems along the boundary

Definition

$(L_i) \in \prod_i K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ is **compatible on \bar{X}** if for every $x \in |\bar{X}|$, for every $F \in W(\bar{X}_{(x)} \times_{\bar{X}} X, \bar{a})$ (where \bar{a} is a geometric point), $\text{tr}(F, (L_i)_{\bar{a}}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of i .

Compatible systems along the boundary

Definition

$(L_i) \in \prod_i K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ is **compatible on \bar{X}** if for every $x \in |\bar{X}|$, for every $F \in W(\bar{X}_{(x)} \times_{\bar{X}} X, \bar{a})$ (where \bar{a} is a geometric point), $\text{tr}(F, (L_i)_{\bar{a}}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of i .

Question

Assume $(L_i) \in \prod_i K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ compatible on X . Is (L_i) compatible on \bar{X} ?

Compatible systems along the boundary

Definition

$(L_i) \in \prod_i K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ is **compatible on \bar{X}** if for every $x \in |\bar{X}|$, for every $F \in W(\bar{X}_{(x)} \times_{\bar{X}} X, \bar{a})$ (where \bar{a} is a geometric point), $\text{tr}(F, (L_i)_{\bar{a}}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of i .

Question

Assume $(L_i) \in \prod_i K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ compatible on X . Is (L_i) compatible on \bar{X} ?

Yes up to stratification or modification.

Compatible \Rightarrow Compatible along the boundary up to ...

Theorem (Lu-Z.)

Let X be a scheme of finite type over S and let $(L_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ compatible with I finite. There exists a **partition** $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ into locally closed subschemes such that each X_{α} admits a normal compactification $X_{\alpha} \subseteq \bar{X}_{\alpha}$ over S with $(L_i|_{X_{\alpha}})$ compatible on \bar{X}_{α} .

Compatible \Rightarrow Compatible along the boundary up to ...

Theorem (Lu-Z.)

Let X be a scheme of finite type over S and let $(L_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ compatible with I finite. There exists a **partition** $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ into locally closed subschemes such that each X_{α} admits a normal compactification $X_{\alpha} \subseteq \bar{X}_{\alpha}$ over S with $(L_i|_{X_{\alpha}})$ compatible on \bar{X}_{α} .

Theorem (Lu-Z.)

Let \bar{X} be a reduced scheme separated of finite type over S and let $X \subseteq \bar{X}$ be a Zariski dense open. Let $(L_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ compatible with I finite. There exists a **proper birational transformation** $f: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that $(L_i|_{f^{-1}(X)})$ is compatible on \bar{Y} .

Compatible \Rightarrow Compatible along the boundary up to ...

Theorem (Lu-Z.)

Let X be a scheme of finite type over S and let $(L_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ compatible with I finite. There exists a **partition** $X = \bigcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ into locally closed subschemes such that each X_{α} admits a normal compactification $X_{\alpha} \subseteq \bar{X}_{\alpha}$ over S with $(L_i|_{X_{\alpha}})$ compatible on \bar{X}_{α} .

Theorem (Lu-Z.)

Let \bar{X} be a reduced scheme separated of finite type over S and let $X \subseteq \bar{X}$ be a Zariski dense open. Let $(L_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} K_{\text{lisse}}(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ compatible with I finite. There exists a **proper birational transformation** $f: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$ such that $(L_i|_{f^{-1}(X)})$ is compatible on \bar{Y} .

Due to Deligne in the case where X is a curve over \mathbf{F}_q .

Valuative criterion

Corollary

Let X be a scheme of finite type over S and let $(L_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} K(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$. Consider commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(L) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) & \longrightarrow & S, \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{O}_L is a Henselian valuation ring and $L = \mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

- ① $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ compatible \Leftrightarrow for every square with closed point of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ *quasi-finite* over S , $\mathrm{tr}(F, (L_i)_{\bar{L}}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of ℓ for all $F \in W(\bar{L}/L)$.
- ② $(L_i)_{i \in I}$ compatible \Rightarrow for every square with \mathcal{O}_L *strictly Henselian*, $\mathrm{tr}(F, (L_i)_{\bar{L}}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of ℓ for all $F \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{L}/L)$.

Serre's conjectures in equal characteristic

Let \mathcal{O}_L be a Henselian (not necessarily discrete) valuation field ring of residue field k and characteristic $p > 0$. Let $L = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_L)$. Let X be a proper smooth variety over L .

Corollary

- (C4) For each $F \in I_L$, $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \neq p$.
- (C8) Assume $k = \mathbf{F}_q$. For each lifting $F \in \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L)$ of Fr , $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \neq p$.

Serre's conjectures in equal characteristic

Let \mathcal{O}_L be a Henselian (not necessarily discrete) valuation field ring of residue field k and characteristic $p > 0$. Let $L = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_L)$. Let X be a proper smooth variety over L .

Corollary

- (C4) For each $F \in I_L$, $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \neq p$.
- (C8) Assume $k = \mathbf{F}_q$. For each lifting $F \in \text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L)$ of Fr , $\det(1 - Ft, H_\ell^i) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and is independent of $\ell \neq p$.

The valuative criterion was inspired by Gabber's valuative criterion for the ramified part of π_1 .

Plan of the talk

- 1 Serre's conjectures on ℓ -independence
- 2 Compatible systems along the boundary
- 3 Relation with wild ramification

Ramified part of π_1

Let \mathcal{O}_K be an excellent Henselian discrete valuation ring of residue characteristic $p > 0$.

Definition (Vidal)

Let X be a integral normal scheme separated of finite type over $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Closed subsets $\pi_1^{\text{wr}}(X) \subseteq \pi_1^r(X) \subseteq \pi_1(X)$:

- For any normal compactification $X \subseteq \bar{X}$ over S , $\pi_1^r(X)_{\bar{X}}$ is the closure of the union of the conjugates of $\text{Im}(\pi_1(\bar{X}_{(\bar{x})} \times_{\bar{X}} X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X))$, where \bar{x} runs through geometric points of \bar{X} .
- (ramified part) $\pi_1^r(X) = \bigcap_{\bar{X}} \pi_1^r(X)_{\bar{X}}$.

Ramified part of π_1

Let \mathcal{O}_K be an excellent Henselian discrete valuation ring of residue characteristic $p > 0$.

Definition (Vidal)

Let X be a integral normal scheme separated of finite type over $S = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Closed subsets $\pi_1^{\text{wr}}(X) \subseteq \pi_1^r(X) \subseteq \pi_1(X)$:

- For any normal compactification $X \subseteq \bar{X}$ over S , $\pi_1^r(X)_{\bar{X}}$ is the closure of the union of the conjugates of $\text{Im}(\pi_1(\bar{X}_{\bar{x}} \times_{\bar{x}} X) \rightarrow \pi_1(X))$, where \bar{x} runs through geometric points of \bar{X} .
- (ramified part) $\pi_1^r(X) = \bigcap_{\bar{x}} \pi_1^r(X)_{\bar{x}}$.
- (wildly ramified part) $\pi_1^{\text{wr}}(X) = \pi_1^r(X) \cap \bigcup_H H$, where H runs through pro- p -Sylows of $\pi_1(X)$.

Gabber's valuative criterion

Theorem (Gabber)

$\pi_1^r(X)$ is the closure of the union of the conjugates of $\text{Im}(\text{Gal}(\bar{L}/L) \rightarrow \pi_1(X))$, indexed by commutative squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Spec}(L) & \longrightarrow & X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_L) & \longrightarrow & S
 \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{O}_L is a *strictly Henselian valuation ring*.

Compatible wild ramification

Let X be a scheme of finite type over S .

Definition

$(L_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} K(X, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell_i})$ has **compatible wild ramification** if for every separated integral normal subscheme Y and every $g \in \pi_1^{\text{wr}}(Y, \bar{a})$ (where \bar{a} is a geometric point), $\text{tr}^{\text{Br}}(g, (L_i)_{\bar{a}}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of ℓ (as long as $L_i \in K_{\text{lisse}}$).

Saito-Yatagawa and Yatagawa studied a weaker condition “same wild ramification”.

Compatible wild ramification

Let X be a scheme of finite type over S .

Definition

$(L_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} K(X, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell_i})$ has **compatible wild ramification** if for every separated integral normal subscheme Y and every $g \in \pi_1^{\text{wr}}(Y, \bar{a})$ (where \bar{a} is a geometric point), $\text{tr}^{\text{Br}}(g, (L_i)_{\bar{a}}) \in \mathbf{Q}$ and is independent of ℓ (as long as $L_i \in K_{\text{lisse}}$).

Saito-Yatagawa and Yatagawa studied a weaker condition “same wild ramification”.

Theorem (Deligne, Vidal, Saito-Yatagawa, Yatagawa, Guo)

- “Compatible wild ramification” is preserved by f^* , f_* , $f_!$, $f^!$, \otimes , $R\mathcal{H}om$.
- “Same wild ramification” is preserved by f^* , f_* , $f_!$, $f^!$.

Compatible \Rightarrow Compatible wild ramification

Assume that the residue field of \mathcal{O}_K is finite. The decomposition map d_ℓ is the composition

$$K(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_\ell) \xleftarrow{\sim} K(X, \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_\ell) \rightarrow K(X, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_\ell),$$

where both arrows are given by extension of scalars. Combining Gabber's valuative criterion with ours, we get:

Corollary

$(L_i) \in \prod_i K(X, \overline{\mathbf{Q}}_{\ell_i})$ compatible $\Rightarrow (d_{\ell_i} L_i) \in \prod_i K(X, \overline{\mathbf{F}}_{\ell_i})$ has compatible wild ramification.

The End

Thank you!